

ORIGINAL

FILED

12/09/2016

Anderson, Diane

Ed Smith

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of
richard westerberg <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 2:25 PM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Reject rule change 8.4 (g)

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

This rule is a violation of the free exercise of religion. This rule is a violation of the freedom of speech and of the press. Even if you do not agree with a belief, lawyers ought not to be forced against their God given beliefs because they are bible believing Christians.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mr. richard westerberg
1740 Bear Canyon Rd
Bozeman, MT 59715-6659
(406) 586-7991
richard@westerberg.org

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Joseph Moyer <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 2:25 PM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Concerning proposed para g to rule 8.4

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

The list of attributes against which one can be accused of discriminating would include two new categories (sexual orientation and gender identity) which neither biology, medicine nor psychiatry can affirm as scientifically verifiable. In addition, these two new categories are being found to connect with very serious psychological issues. I direct your attention to the Fall 2016 issue of The New

Atlantis: a Journal of Technology & Society (number 50, www.thenewatlantis.com). This issue is a special report on scientific findings concerning these very categories. The researchers are in no way to be categorized as acting on religious impulses which would possibly color their results. Their three page executive summary (pp. 7-9) makes plain that neither sexual orientation nor gender identity, as usually defined, can be supported from science at this time. I will gladly forward you a pdf copy of this issue if you wish (about 1.6 mb in size).

This rule change will incorporate categories which are actually metaphysical commitments (akin to religious devotions) into the definition of discrimination. You would thereby risk violating the 1st amendment rights of numerous lawyers and their associates in our state.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Moyer
1400 6th St NW
Great Falls, MT 59404-1894
jfmoyer@wbc-greatfalls.org

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Shelly Sharbono <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 12:33 PM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Protect our free exercise of religion and freedom of speech and press

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

These rights are natural rights that no one can take away.
This rule is a violation of the free exercise of religion.
This rule is a violation of the freedom of speech and of the press.
Even if you don't agree with a belief, lawyers ought not to be disbarred for holding it.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shelly Sharbono
40240 W Post Creek Rd
Charlo, MT 59824-9345
(406) 249-9531
sssharbono@hotmail.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Kayla Willett <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 1:55 PM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Stop Rule 8.4(g)

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

This rule is in violation of the first amendment, the freedom of speech. It is government over-reach and in direct conflict with religious freedom. It is taking a side against Christian beliefs. I am a concerned citizen and business owner. I have seen these types of ideas ruin lives and businesses.
I request that you reject Rule 8.4(g).

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kayla Willett
504 S 3rd St E
Malta, MT 59538-8858
kwillett@outlook.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Carolyn Glidewell <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 12:24 PM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Please do not adopt Rule 8.4.

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

Please do not adopt Rule 8.4. Of all places, we need freedom of speech in the court room. Thank you.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carolyn Glidewell
PO Box 297
Lolo, MT 59847-0297
cglidewell@giig.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Crystal Lett <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 11:54 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Supreme court ruling on "discriminatory" speech as a lawyer

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

As I set here a young married mother of 4 I have so many things going thru my head it is hard to decide where to start. What has happened to the country I am living in when freedom of speech means one person can burn a flag or sue a baker for not making their cake yet the very people who believe in those rights support the right of another to not dress the incoming first lady because she does not agree with her beliefs yet no one is putting her out of business for her stance. When did the courts become the decision makers of whos speech is freedom of speech and whos is not and how can justice be blind and put aside her personal beliefs in deciding these issues. This law would be a violation of freedom of religion and a chipping away of all religious freedom is happening with the courts help, where does this stop? There is no tolerance on the part of those who disagree with a persons religious belief they want laws to force you go against your religious belief and your freedom of speech. Yours is not freedom of speech if it disagrees with theirs, and they want your job for expressing it whether your a lawyer , baker or dress maker. Yes the courts and lady justice have a huge responsibility here and play a part in all religious freedom and freedom of speech and I pray that they carefully consider all Americans freedoms, you should not loose your job because someone disagrees with a belief! There is a practice of targeting people of religious belief and suing, put out of business and fine when they do not go against that belief.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Crystal Lett
PO Box 54
Malta, MT 59538-0054
ocletts@itstriangle.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of joann mims <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 11:24 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: righto practicehttp://www.carinos.com/menu/lunch-combosPlease Delete This Subject And Type Your Own

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

I believethatthis rule would interfere with the rights of lawyers

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. joann mims
3600b Magenta Rd
Bozeman, MT 59718-8127
(406) 548-5523
preciousmomentef@aol.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Krista De Groot <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 11:33 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Re: Rule 8.4 (g), Case AF 09-0688 : Creating an Orwellian Circle of Tyranny

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

To the Honorable Ed Smith, Chief Justice McGrath, Justices Cotter, Rice, Wheat, McKinnon, Shea and Baker :

I am respectfully requesting the court to OPPOSE and DISMISS the proposed new Rule 8.4 (g) of the Montana Rules of Professional Conduct.

As a U.S. and Montana citizen who understands, appreciates, and treasures the freedoms of press and speech, as well as the free exercise of religion, I am appalled that the ABA, let alone our own state supreme court, would entertain such circular and Orwellian notions. If an attorney can be disbarred for speaking against one idea in defense of his own personal and legal belief--such as one of the many moral positions held forth in the Judeo-Christian ethic--we have succumbed to nothing but unabated tyranny. Disbarring an Attorney for voicing an opinion that leaves a Person or Group feeling discriminated against or harassed becomes, in fact, discrimination against and harassment of the Attorney. If the Attorney, then, feels discriminated against or harassed, by way of disbarment, what legal redress will she have from the Person or Group? Therefore, nothing exists in this Rule change to protect the Attorney from "verbal or *physical* (emphasis mine)... conduct that manifests bias or prejudice" against the Attorney. I emphasize "physical" because loss of one's means of income (by way of disbarment) would have clear physical consequences. This Rule plainly opens the floodgates to opportunities for egregious discrimination against and harassment of attorneys, themselves. Let us keep the citizens of our state and nation free--yes even our citizen attorneys. Please do NOT adopt Rule 8.4 (g) of the Montana Rules of Professional Conduct.

Sincerely,
Krista De Groot
PO Box 687
Dillon MT 59725
fanciethat@icloud.com

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Ms. Krista De Groot
PO Box 687
Dillon, MT 59725-0687

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Mark Kuntz <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 10:33 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Strong opposition to "Rule 8.4(g)"

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

This rule will be a violation of my constitutional right to freedom of religion. If enacted, this will effectively silence and censor any attorney and potential clients from defending themselves in any Montana court of law. This is an extremely bad idea.

Consider the following statement "Freedom and Justice for all" Can't have justice if you can't even voice your religious beliefs on social and religious issues.

Also, these "select categories" should not be considered from a practical standpoint as well, since they are "choices" and can be treated and changed. They are not the same such as "race" because you can't change your race, but you can treat and change "orientation". Look up the word "orientation" in the dictionary.

Please consider the impacts your decision will have.

Please, do not support "Rule 8.4(g)"

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Kuntz
1252 Cottonwood Blvd
Billings, MT 59105-2657
(406) 697-2640
mkuntz@runbox.com

FILED
DEC 09 2016
Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Larry Beneker <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 10:24 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Proposed Rule Change 8.4 (g)

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

Do we not live in a country of great freedoms and wasn't this great nation established upon the Word of God?

I'm understanding that the Montana Supreme Court is attempting to change their "Rules of Professional Conduct" known as "Rule 8.4(g)," to discriminate against any lawyer that they might consider "discriminating" by what they say concerning "sexual orientation" or "gender identity."

Aren't we, including lawyers, allowed to state our personal opinion without reprimand? Is the Montana Supreme Court more powerful than God to restrict our right to state what the "real truth" concerning these matters is?

Please consider what you are proposing to do by this change in "Rule 8.4(g)" and stop trying to restrict freedom of speech and freedom of religion.

The nation and state that honors God, the creator of the universe and author of the "truth," will be blessed.

Do not support this biased, restrictive rule change regarding lawyers.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Beneker
518 Jemstone Dr
Billings, MT 59101-6854
(406) 690-3484
larbel@bresnan.net

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Terria Arps <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 11:03 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Attorneys' First Amendment Rights

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

This rule, I feel, would be is a violation of the free exercise of religion. It also is a violation of the freedom of speech and the press. I believe this is a harmful rule for the lawyers who would be disbarred for holding it.

I appreciate you for reading my views and thoughts on this sensitive subject.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Terria Arps
PO Box 925
Choteau, MT 59422-0925
(406) 590-5649
terriaarps@yahoo.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Maryanne Brugman <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 10:33 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Proposed rule change 8.4(g)

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

I truly believe this is just the start of our right of free exercise of religion and a violation of the freedom of speech and the press.

Please give thoughtful consideration before making the wrong judgment on this issue. Thank you.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maryanne Brugman
821 W 4th St
Anaconda, MT 59711-2023
brug213@aol.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Grosse <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 10:03 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Freedom Rights in America

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

The main reason I love my country, the United States of America, is because of its foundation on freedom. People in countries around the world are afraid to express their opinions and face dire consequences if they do. Here in America we have freedom to express our beliefs, stated for us in our Constitution.

The pressure of today's changing society is causing governments to make freedom only for a specified group of people, in this case for people who have chosen to change their gender identity or sexual orientation.

They are getting the right to put forth their choice, while those who have chosen differently than them are being stopped. My personal religious beliefs cause me to stand on one side of the issue. I believe there is a right and a wrong. But people were created with the right of choice, and either side, whether I personally think like them or not, should have the right to express their convictions or beliefs. Taking this right away from one side or both is putting a plug on our freedoms.

If you say that law has nothing to do with personal beliefs, then look at the Constitution of the United States of America. It was written by people who had strong convictions and beliefs about a free way of life, different than the lands they had come from. According to that belief, they penned the Constitution. If they had believed differently, our country would not have been built on the freedom we love and enjoy.

Please consider this appeal in making your decision, and thank you for your work in the judicial system. It is not an easy job.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Miss Elizabeth Grosse
8 S Nevada St
Dillon, MT 59725-3226
(406) 478-6578
elizabeth.grosse@bcmedu.org

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Lynn Haugen <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 9:24 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Rule 8.4

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

Please vote against Rule 8.4. This rule is not right and is a violation of freedom of speech and the free exercise of religion!

Thank you

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lynn Haugen
1717 W Holly St
Sidney, MT 59270-3526
slhaugen@midrivers.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Terry Tatafu <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 7:54 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Proposed Rule Change 8.4

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

This rule change is a clear violation of freedom of speech and should NOT be passed. We should all be allowed to express our opinion and lawyers should NOT be disbarred for doing the same. Please vote against this rule change!! Thank you. Sincerely, Terry Tatafu, P.O. Box 1562, Malta, MT 59538 ttatafu@mail.com

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Terry Tatafu
PO Box 1562
Malta, MT 59538-1562
(406) 654-2867
ttatafu@hotmail.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Carol Gerisch <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 7:54 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Free Speech - Marriage

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

I do not agree with this law. When did voicing your opinion become wrong. Why can't I speak to what I believe even if the law says otherwise. We should be free to voice our opinion even if does not agree with others or the current law. We should not be forced to accept a different viewpoint or be put in prison.

I urge you to vote against this proposed rule change.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Miss Carol Gerisch
58406 Hillside Rd
Saint Ignatius, MT 59865-9375
(406) 544-5430
cgerisch@blackfoot.net

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Nancy Jo Knauff <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 7:24 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Oppose rule 8.4(g)

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

After reading the rule - which sounds like it innocently protects against discrimination - I'm convinced it does the opposite. It will effectively gag attorneys and work against freedom of speech and religious belief systems, i.e. Muslim, Christian etc. Please do not pass this rule.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Jo Knauff
2604 8th Ave S
Great Falls, MT 59405-3217
nj_knauff@yahoo.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Whit Olds <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 7:02 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Please oppose this rubbish proposed rule 8.4

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

The Honorable Ed Smith,

Please oppose this unnecessary legislation which is the Federal government's attempt to control our individual rights as a state.

Let's continue to keep America and the great state of Montana free!

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mr. Whit Olds
101 Passage Ct
Missoula, MT 59803-3300
(406) 240-7038
whitolds@gmail.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Pamala Schneider <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 6:32 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Speech Code for Lawyers

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

This rule is a violation of the free exercise of religion.

This rule is a violation of the freedom of speech and of the press.

Even if you don't agree with a belief, lawyers ought not to be disbarred for holding it.

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Pamala Schneider
32880 Piney Meadows Ln
Huson, MT 59846-9712
(406) 626-4532
accountability@hughes.net

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

ORIGINAL

Anderson, Diane

From: Montana Family Foundation <communications@montanafamily.org> on behalf of Shari Goroski <communications@montanafamily.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2016 6:24 AM
To: Court, SCclerk
Subject: Free Exercise of Religion

Dec 8, 2016

Honorable Ed Smith
P.O. Box 203003
Helena, MT 59620-3003

Dear Justices Honorable Smith,

I am writing today to express my opposition to the proposed rule change 8.4(g).

To the Montana Supreme Court,
I have been made aware that the Supreme Court is considering a rule that would be a violation of our freedom of religion, speech making it possible to disbar a lawyer for expressing their opinion based on their belief in the Bible. This is a direct violation of our constitutional rights. Political correctness should not be used to take rights away from those that believe God's word is truth. Our constitution and laws were based on many of the ten commandments which have weathered the test of time. Please be very cautious in following the opinion of the few who want to tear apart our constitutional rights of freedom of religion, speech and of the press.
At the very least lawyers should not be disbarred for holding the view.

I hope you will reject this rule change.

Sincerely,
Shari Goroski
48 Schmidt Lane
Glendive, MT 59330
gsgski@midrivers.com

I hope you'll reject this rule change.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shari Goroski
48 Schmidt Ln
Glendive, MT 59330-9407
(406) 687-3779
gsgski@midrivers.com

FILED

DEC 09 2016

Ed Smith
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA